



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,096	12/05/2003	Anders M. E. Samuelsson	MS1-1696US	8822
22801 7590 01/29/2009 LEE & HAYES, PLLC 601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 1400 SPOKANE, WA 99201				
EXAMINER KAPLAN, BENJAMIN A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2439				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/29/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/729,096

**Applicant(s)**

SAMUELSSON ET AL.

**Examiner**

BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN

**Art Unit**

2439

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN PTO Personnel.(3) MICHAEL D. CARTER Applicant's Representative.(2) KAMBIZ ZAND SPE PTO Personnel.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 14, 22 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pantuso.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments for advancing prosecution were discussed. The discussed amendments and arguments would overcome the present rejection and would require further search and/or consideration. Applicant's response will be considered when formerly filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Benjamin A Kaplan/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2439

/Kambiz Zand/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434